NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO: 🖂 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🖂 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Michael M. Anello FROM: **RECEIVED DATE:** DOC FILED BY: Plaintiff CASE NO. 16cv96-MMA (KSC) CASE TITLE: San Diego Association of Realtors v. Sandicor, Inc. et al DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Second Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 39) Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted: **Noncompliance with Local Rule 15.1(c):** Amended Pleadings Filed After Motions to Dismiss or Strike. Any amended pleading filed after the granting of a motion to dismiss or motion to strike with leave to amend, must be accompanied by a version of that pleading that shows---through redlining, underlining, strikeouts, or other similarly effective typographic methods---how that pleading differs from the previously dismissed pleading. **Date Forwarded:** ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Plaintiff must file the required \boxtimes additional redlined version of the amended complaint in compliance with LR 15.1(c) within 3 days of this notice. The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate? \square Yes. Court copy retained by chambers \square Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to CivLocal Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: Michael M. Anello Date: August 3, 2016 cc: All Parties By: s/ Law Clerk